

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/625,094	07/25/2000	Frederick M. Discenzo	00RE089	6257
7:	590 04/23/2003	,		
Allen-Bradley Company Inc Attention John J Horn Patent Dept 704P Floor 8 T-29			EXAMINER	
			LEE, HWA S	
1201 South Second Street				
Milwaukee, WI 53204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
		DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-, <u>}</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
-		09/625,094	DISCENZO, FREDERICK M.			
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew H. Lee	2877			
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be set or extended period for reply will be set or extended p						
earne Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	July 2000 .				
2a)□	71113 4041011 10 1 11 11 12	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A the control of the drawing that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A the control of the drawing that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing(s) be field in abeyonds. See 6. A three control of the drawing contr						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is. dy approved by is approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
(a)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachme						
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/625,094

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim1-43 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-23 of U. S. Patent No. 6,067,159 and claims 1-29 of U. S. Patent No. 6,111,943. The difference in the claims are based on the article (the sample, i.e. brake pad, bearing, tire) that is being measured for wear and not of the apparatus itself. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to use the apparatus of Patent No. 6,067,159 to measure the rate of wear of a bearing since Considine teaches the motivation to determine the rate of wear of a bearing, and Patent No. 6,067,159 teaches an apparatus for optically determining the wear of an article in order to accurately determine the rate of wear of the bearing.

Application/Control Number: 09/625,094

Art Unit: 2877

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the PTO Fax Center located in CP4-4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Center numbers are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications

If the Applicant wishes to send a Fax dealing with either a Proposed Amendment or for discussion for a phone interview then the fax should:

- a) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet; and
 - b) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Hwa Lee whose telephone number is (703) 305-0538.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 703-308-4881.

Andrew Lee

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877

April 14, 2003/ahl

Frank Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2877